

TECHNICAL MANUSCRIPT REVIEW FORM

Title/Draft No. Modeling and Analysis Procedures used to Identify and Evaluate Potential Critical Habitat Networks for the Northern Spotted Owl		Author(s) Jeffrey R. Dunk, Brian Woodbridge, David LaPlante, Nathan Schumaker, Betsy Glenn, Brendan White, Sue Livingston, Michelle
Date Review Requested 1/20/2012	Date Review Required 2/3/2012	Project Officer/Organization/Address Dave Olszyk ORD / NHEERL / WED / EEB
Type of Publication/Audience White paper, to be posted on a US FWS web site.		Reviewer/Organization/Address Chris Andersen ORD / NHEERL / WED / EEB
Review Coordinator (e.g., PO, TIM, Supervisor) Nathan Schumaker		

You are asked to review and comment on the attached manuscript. Feel free to make notations on the manuscript as well as in comments section below, particularly regarding your recommendations for revisions. If you are unable to review the manuscript by the required date above, please return it now. Your suggestions for alternate or additional reviewers will be welcomed.

SUMMARY RATING			RECOMMENDATIONS
Please rate the manuscript as follows:	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) Acceptable as is
Content and scope	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (2) Acceptable after minor revision
Organization and presentation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> (3) Acceptable after major revision
Quality of data and validity of analytical techniques	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> (4) Not acceptable
Soundness of conclusions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	If you have checked either 3 or 4, please specifically state reason(s) in the comments space below. <div style="font-family: cursive; font-size: 1.2em;">Chris Andersen</div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> Reviewer's Signature 1-27-12 </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> Date </div>
Editorial quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other (specify)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Comments: (Use extra sheets if needed.)

This document is really a resource document, not a stand-alone manuscript. It reads well and is ready for publication. I have noted a few minor editorial issues. Also, many acronyms are used, should there be a Key-list to make it easier for the reader? Does this document need EPA disclaimer?